# Hilbert von Neumann Modules

### versus

# Concrete von Neumann Modules

#### Michael Skeide, May 2012

#### Abstract

Hilbert von Neumann modules and concrete von Neumann modules are the same thing.

Let *G* and *H* be Hilbert spaces. For  $E \subset \mathcal{B}(G, H)$  denote by [*E*] the strongly closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(G, H)$  generated by *E*.

Bikram, Mukherjee, Srinivasan, and Sunder [BMSS12] say on Page 50, *E* is a *von Neumann corner* if  $E = [E] \supset EE^*E$ . It is *nondegenerate* if  $\overline{\text{span}} EG = H$ ,  $\overline{\text{span}} E^*H = G$ . They say in [BMSS12, Definition 1.2(1)], a *Hilbert von Neumann module* over a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a von Neumann corner *E* with a normal isomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $[E^*E]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra acting nondegenerately on the Hilbert space G. Skeide [Ske06, Definition 2] says, E is a *concrete von Neumann*  $\mathcal{B}$ -module if E is strongly closed, if it is a (right)  $\mathcal{B}$ -submodule<sup>[1]</sup> of  $\mathcal{B}(G, H)$  (that is,  $E + E \subset E$  and  $E\mathcal{B} \subset E$ ), if  $E^*E \subset \mathcal{B}$  and if span EG = H.

**Proposition.** Let G and H be Hilbert spaces. For the subset E of  $\mathbb{B}(G, H)$  denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the strong closure in  $\mathbb{B}(G)$  of the algebra generated by  $E^*E$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. E is a nondegenerate von Neumann corner.
- 2. *E* is a Hilbert von Neumann module over  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\pi = id_{\mathcal{B}}$  satisfying span EG = H.
- *3. E* is a concrete von Neumann *B*–module.

Moreover, if E is a Hilbert von Neumann module over  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $\overline{\text{span}} EG = H$ , then E is a concrete von Neumann  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ -module.

**PROOF.** This is immediate from the definitions.

**Observation.** Similar statements, which we omit phrasing here, are true for *Hilbert von Neumann bimodules* ([BMSS12, Definition 1.2(3)]) and *concrete von Neumann correspondences* ([Ske06, Definition 3]).

MSC 2010: 46L07. Keywords: Von Neumann modules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[1]</sup> In [Ske06] we omitted to repeat that a module is closed under addition.

**Remark.** Von Neumann modules have been introduced in Skeide [Ske00, Definition 4.4] as (pre-) Hilbert modules over von Neumann algebras for which the linking algebra is a von Neumann algebra (cf. [BMSS12, Proposition 1.1]). Immediately after ([Ske00, Proposition 4.5]), it is shown that this is equivalent, to that E is a concrete von Neumann module (of course, not calling it a concrete von Neumann module, as this definition is made not before [Ske06]). The main work is to transform the abstract (pre-)Hilbert module E over the (concrete!) von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}(G)$  into a concrete operator module  $E \subset \mathcal{B}(G, H)$ . However, such a procedure is known, and it is known, too, that the result is unique up to suitable unitary equivalence; see (for instance) Rieffel [Rie74, Proposition 6.10] or Murphy [Mur97, Section 3]. All the work for deriving results (for instance, in Skeide [Ske00, Ske01]) is done with concrete von Neumann modules (respectively, Hilbert von Neumann modules). This includes, in particular, self-duality ([Ske00, Theorem 4.16], using cyclic decomposition [Ske00, Proposition 3.8], polar decomposition [Ske00, Proposition 2.10], and quasi orthonormal bases [Ske00, Theorem 4.11]; cf. [BMSS12, Proposition 1.9]). And it includes the tensor product of von Neumann correspondences ([Ske01, Equation (4.2.2) and Proposition 4.2.24], cf. [BMSS12, Section 3]). Just, a formal definition that frees us from the "burden" to transform an abstract (pre-)Hilbert module over a (concrete) von Neumann algebra into a concrete one, has not been given before [Ske06].

## References

[BMSS12]	P. Bikram, K. Mukherjee, R. Srinivasan, and V.S. Sunder, <i>Hilbert von Neumann Mod-</i> <i>ules</i> , Commun. Stoch. Anal. <b>6</b> (2012), 49–64, (arXiv: 1102.4663).
[Mur97]	G.J. Murphy, <i>Positive definite kernels and Hilbert C*–modules</i> , Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. <b>40</b> (1997), 367–374.
[Rie74]	M.A. Rieffel, <i>Morita equivalence for</i> $C^*$ -algebras and $W^*$ -algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra <b>5</b> (1974), 51–96.
[Ske00]	M. Skeide, Generalized matrix $C^*$ -algebras and representations of Hilbert modules, Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy <b>100A</b> (2000), 11–38, (Cottbus, Reihe Mathematik 1997/M-13).
[Ske01]	, <i>Hilbert modules and applications in quantum probability</i> , Habilitations-schrift, Cottbus, 2001, Available at http://web.unimol.it/skeide/.
[Ske06]	, Commutants of von Neumann correspondences and duality of Eilenberg- Watts theorems by Rieffel and by Blecher, Banach Center Publications <b>73</b> (2006), 391– 408, (arXiv: math.OA/0502241).

Michael Skeide: Dipartimento E.G.S.I., Università degli Studi del Molise, Via de Sanctis, 86100 Campobasso, Italy, E-mail: skeide@unimol.it, Homepage: http://web.unimol.it/skeide